

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/052,006	01/17/2002	Valerie L. Crumley	IN-5421	9161
26922	7590 10/01/2003		EXAMINER	
BASF CORPORATION ANNE GERRY SABOURIN			GORR, RA	CHEL F
	GRAPH ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIEL	O, MI 48034-2442		1711	

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				AS
II.		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/052,006	CRUMLEY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examin r	Art Unit	
		Rachel F. Gorr	1711	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the cover sh	t with the c rrespondence address	
THE   - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6) , cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed  f thirty (30) days will be considered timely,  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  de ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allowated in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			· ·
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application	١.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requirement		
· · ·	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar		
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		by the Examiner	
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
,—	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received	in Application No	
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	
14) 🗌 🖟	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).
	a)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			
Attachmen		,		
1)  Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) (P	5) Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/052,006

Art Unit: 1711

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by McNeil (758).

McNeil discloses an aqueous basecoat coating composition comprising Bayhydrol 140 AQ (see example 4), the same polyurethane dispersion used in the applicant's examples; a dispersed anionic (col. 6, line 42) acrylic polymer having a glass transition temperature at least 20 deg. C higher than the polyurethane (col. 2, line 58) and an equivalent weight of 100 or less (col. 6, line 37); a crosslinking component (top col. 6). He salts the dispersion with preferably dimethylethanolamine (col. 7, line 49), and he shows that the acrylic resin is made with a chain transfer agent (col. 8, line 9). Example 4 shows more than 50 wt. % of the sum a polyurethane, acrylic resin and crosslinker is pigment. He teaches that the composition is substantially solvent free (col. 9, line 24), and he shows applying clear topcoats over substrates coated with the above coating (bottom col. 10). In col. 8, lines 40-65) he shows that the polyurethane comprises at least 40 wt. % of the polyurethane plus the acrylic, and that the crosslinker comprises 2-30 wt. % of the polyurethane, acrylic and crosslinker. These amounts would overlap with the claim one limitation of 10-50 wt. % of polyurethane of the sum of urethane, acrylic and crosslinker.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/052,006

Art Unit: 1711

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over McNeil.

McNeil discloses a single layer of the above coating topped with a clearcoat. The applicant's claim 23 reads on two layers of the above coating before adding a clearcoat. When a reference teaches a product that appears to be the same as a product set forth in a product-by-process claim but made by a different process, the burden of showing a difference is shifted to the applicant (In re Marosi 218 USPQ 289). Two layers of the coating together would be the same as a single layer of coating.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 703-308-3608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

R.G. Sept. 26, 2003

> RACHEL GORR PRIMARY EXAMINER